United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,485	05/17/2005	Deborah Jane Cooke	C4265(C)	3943
201 7590 09/07/2007 UNILEVER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 700 SYLVAN AVENUE,			EXAMINER	
			KHAN, AMINA S	
BLDG C2 SOI ENGLEWOOI	JTH D CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100	O ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		PAPER NUMBER
			1751	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/535,485	COOKE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Amina Khan	1751			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDOI	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A	August 2007				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	·					
•	 Glaim(s) 1,2,5 and 6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
· · ·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
		or election requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/535,485

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this

application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action

has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August

24, 2007 has been entered.

2. Claims 1,2,5 and 6 are pending. Claims 3,4 and 7-10 have been cancelled. Claim

1 has been amended.

3. The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed August 24, 2007 is sufficient to

overcome all prior rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1,2,5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lähteenmäki et al. (WO 99/61479) in view of Partain III et al. (US 6,372,901).

Lähteenmäki et al. teaches methods of laundering fabrics and textiles in washing solutions containing 0.1-5% modified cellulose ethers, specifically hydroxyethyl celluloses (page 4, line 11) with molecular weights between 90,000-1,300,000 (page 4. lines 11-12), and surfactants (page 5, lined 14-16) to impart anti-fading benefits (page 5, lines 31-34).

Lähteenmäki et al. is silent about the specific color and luminance of the fabric, the specific polysaccharide, and does not teach the claimed concentration of a hydroxyl C2-C4 alkyl derivative of a beta 1-4 polysaccharide.

Partain III et al. teach polysaccharides with degrees of substitution of 1.5 to about 6 (column 4, lines 25-30), specifically Cellosize® WP-300, for use in laundry detergents (column 7, lines 24-30, 60-67).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to substitute the Cellosize® WP-300 taught by Partain III et al. into the compositions and methods taught by Lähteenmäki et al. Partain III et al. teaches the improved stability of these compounds and their utility in laundering applications.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the methods taught by Lähteenmäki et al. to treat fabrics with a luminance less than 50, including black fabrics, because Lähteenmäki teaches methods which provide improved antifading benefits (page 5, paragraph 5, lines 31-35) to the fabrics in general using a similar composition encompassed by the material limitations of the instant claims. Art Unit: 1751

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to optimize the concentration of the hydroxyethyl cellulose to 0.1-0.001 g/L to obtain the best results because Lähteenmäki teaches the inclusion of 0.1-5% by weight cellulose based components (page 5, lines 11-13) in detergent compositions which are later diluted in a washing solutions during laundering (page 5, line 31). The resulting wash liquor would be expected to have a similar concentration of hydroxyethyl cellulose. The burden is on the applicant to prove otherwise.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the portion of the prior art's range which is within the range of applicant's claims because it has been held to be obvious to select a value in a known range by optimization for the best results. As to optimization results, a patent will not be granted based upon the optimization of result effective variables when the optimization is obtained through routine experimentation unless there is a showing of unexpected results which properly rebuts the prima facie case of obviousness. See In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 276, 205 USPQ 215, 219 (CCPA 1980). See also In re-Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936-37 (Fed. Cir. 1990), and In re-Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). In addition, a prima facie case of obviousness exists because the claimed ranges "overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art", see In re Wertheim, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976; In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 16USPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990). See MPEP 2131.03 and MPEP 2144.05I.

Art Unit: 1751

All disclosures of the prior art, including non-preferred embodiment, must be considered. See In re Lamberti and Konort, 192 USPQ 278 (CCPA 1967); In re Snow 176 USPQ 328(CCPA 9173). Nonpreferred embodiments can be indicative of obviousness, see *Merck & Co. v. Biocraft Laboratories Inc.* 10 USPQ 2d 1843 (Fed. Cir. 1989); *In re Lamberti*, 192 USPQ 278 (CCPA 1976); *In re Kohler*, 177 USPQ 399. A reference is not limited to the working examples, see *In re Fracalossi*, 215 USPQ 569 (CCPA 1982).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amina Khan whose telephone number is (571) 272-5573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas McGinty can be reached on (571) 272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/535,485

Art Unit: 1751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AK

August 28, 2007

Lower M. Daugn

Page 6

LORNA M. DOUYON PRIMARY EXAMINER